

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,161	CAMPBELL ET AL.
	Examiner Hai Vo	Art Unit 1771

All Participants:

Status of Application: 80

(1) Hai Vo.
 (2) Maxwell J. Petersen.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 April 2007

Time: 4:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 24, 25, 33, and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Donker et al (US 6,605,680) and Quinn et al (US 6,833,404)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hai Vo

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The tackifier consisting of a C5 hydrocarbon tackifier and the tackifier content ranging from 1 to 5 wt% would render the instant claims unobvious over the prior art. Applicant's representative agrees to cancel claims 8 and 34 and amend claims 1, 24, 25 and 33 in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.